

1 YURIDIA OCHOA,

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 CITY OF SAN JOSE, et al.,

5 Defendants.

6 Case No. 21-cv-02456-BLF (SVK)

7

8

9 **ORDER DENYING PROPOSED
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER**

10 Re: Dkt. No. 41

11

12 The Court **DENIES** the Parties' proposed stipulated protective order. Dkt. 41. The Court

13 does not enter piecemeal protective orders directly solely at specific discovery requests. The

14 Parties are **ORDERED** to meet and confer regarding a stipulated protective order that will apply

15 to all properly designated documents in this action. The Parties have until **November 16, 2021**, to

16 file a proposed order in accordance with this Order and the undersigned's Civil and Discovery

17 Referral Matters Standing Order (including the requirement that the Parties submit a redline);

18 otherwise the Court will enter the current order, striking the limitations to specific discovery

19 requests.

20 **SO ORDERED.**

21 Dated: November 9, 2021

22

23 

24 SUSAN VAN KEULEN
25 United States Magistrate Judge